



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/657,308

09/08/2003

Renato Belz

21933-US

9743

22829 7590 01/08/2008
ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEMS INC
PATENT LAW DEPARTMENT
1145 ATLANTIC AVENUE
ALAMEDA, CA 94501

EXAMINER

REDDING, DAVID A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3723

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/08/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/657,308	Applicant(s) BELZ ET AL.	
	Examiner David A. Redding	Art Unit 3723	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 October 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 6 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 6 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/1/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USP 5,720,406 (Fassbind et al.) in view of USP 6,776,964 ('964) and USP 4,226,333 (Percarpio).

The "406 patent discloses a plurality of reaction containers (91) with corresponding plurality of closures (95) which is placed into a device for performing thermal cycling (see description of figure 1 and col.1, lines 20-23). Figure 1 shows a ring of reaction containers (91) and a ring of closures (95), each of which are two-dimensional (X and Y axis). The reaction vessels are connected to one another via a flexible connector (94) and the closures connected to an adjacent closure via a flexible connector (96) (col.3, lines 59-61; col.4, lines 1-5). The patent also discloses that the device is equipped with a handle (99,102) which has a surface upon which a bar code label can be placed (col.4, lines 10-11).

In Fassbind et al. the closures have rounded tops, not recesses. The "964 patent shows a closure assembly comprising caps which do have cylindrical recesses (figure 4). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the

closures in Fassbind et al. with recesses as shown in the '964 patent as a matter of choice of design and in view of the closures use for reliably sealing test tubes.

The Fassbind et al. and '964 patents are silent as to providing a tool with having a handle with pin for insertion into the recess of the cap for seating the cap. Figures 2 and 3 in the Percarpio patent shows the use of a tool (34) as claimed for the same function as claimed (see col. 4, lines 40-56). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the tool (34) in Percarpio with the closure in the '964 patent with the containers in Fassbind et al. in view of the tools known use for ensuring that the caps are sealingly engaged with the tubes as taught in Percarpio.

Response to Arguments

The arguments are addressed in the order in which they appear in applicant's response filed 10/25/07.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion or motivation for altering the design of the closures in Fassbind et al., the examiner disagrees. The Wijnschenk et al. patent discloses caps which reliably seal test tubes. This is sufficient motivation for one skilled in the art to consider using the closures in Wijnschenk et al. for closing the tubes in Fassbind et al.

In response to the argument that the Examiner has not provided any motivation for Wijnschenk et al. to employ the tool of Percarpio, the Examiner disagrees. Both the Wijnschenk et al. and Percarpio use closures which extend into the interior of the tubes and sealingly engage with the interior sidewalls of the tube. The tool disclosed in Percarpio ensures that the closure is pressed in sealing engagement with the tube.

This is sufficient motivation for one skilled in the art to consider using the tool with the closures in Wijnschenk et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Redding whose telephone number is 571-272-1276. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 6:00 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ David Redding /
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3723

DAR